SOME REMARKS ON DESCENT DATA WITH APPLICATIONS TO GALOIS DESCENT

CRISTIAN D. GONZÁLEZ-AVILÉS

arXiv:1701.03688v2 [math.AG] 17 Jan 2017

Abstract. We present a proof of the equivalence of the standard definition of descent data on schemes with another one mentioned in the literature that involves certain cartesian diagrams. Using this equivalence, we discuss the Galois descent of both schemes and morphisms of schemes.

0. Introduction

In this expository paper we present a detailed proof of the equivalence of the standard definition of descent data on schemes with another one mentioned in the literature that involves certain cartesian diagrams. See Section 2. To our knowledge, no detailed proof of this equivalence has appeared in print. As an application, we provide in Section 3 the missing details of the discussion of Galois descent contained in [BLR, §6.2, Example B, pp. 139-140]. The subject of Galois descent is discussed amply in the literature, but mostly over a field. Even when a more general base scheme is allowed, some important details are omitted (for another example of such omissions, see [GW, comments after (14.20.1), p. 457]). In Section 4, as an application of the detailed discussion of Section 3, we generalize the standard result on Galois descent for morphisms of schemes over a field [J, Proposition 2.8] to an arbitrary base scheme. More precisely, we show that, if $S' \to S$ is a finite Galois covering of schemes with Galois group Γ and $\delta\colon X_1 \to X_2$ is an S'-morphism of Γ-schemes that descend to S, then δ descends to S if, and only if, δ is invariant under the action of Γ on morphisms defined by (4.1).

Acknowledgement

I thank Mikhail Borovoi for his constructive criticism of the first version of this paper.

Date: January 19, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14-02, Secondary 14-01.

Key words and phrases. Descent data, Galois descent, cartesian square.

Partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1160004.

1. Preliminaries

pre

The identity morphism of an object A of a category will be denoted by 1_A.

If S is a scheme, (Sch/S) will denote the category of S-schemes. If X is an S-scheme, $\mathrm{Aut}(X/S)$ will denote the group of S-automorphisms of X.

Given morphisms of schemes $X \xrightarrow{f} S \xleftarrow{g} T$, we will write $X \times_{f,S,g} T$ for the fiber product of f and g. When f and g are not relevant, we will write $X \times_S T$ for $X \times_{f,S,g} T$. If $u\colon X \to Y$ is an S-morphism of schemes, $u \times_S T$ will denote the T-morphism of schemes $u \times_S 1_T\colon X \times_S T \to Y \times_S T$.

Recall that a commutative diagram in a category $\mathscr{C}$

squ (1.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{u} & U \\ {\scriptstyle v}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ V & \xrightarrow{w} & W \end{array}$$

is *cartesian* if for every commutative diagram of solid arrows in $\mathscr{C}$

full (1.2)



there exists a unique arrow $h\colon T \to Z$ in $\mathscr{C}$ such that the full diagram (1.2) commutes. It is easy to check that (1.1) is cartesian if both horizontal arrows u and w are isomorphisms. Further, if (1.1) is cartesian and $\psi\colon Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ is an isomorphism in $\mathscr{C}$, then

squ2 (1.3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{u\circ\psi} & U \\ {\scriptstyle v\circ\psi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ V & \xrightarrow{w} & W \end{array}$$

is cartesian as well. We will also need the following fact.

ucart **Lemma 1.1.** *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & U \\ {\scriptstyle e_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V_i & \xrightarrow{k_i} & W \end{array}$$

is a cartesian square in the category of schemes for every i in some index set, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod Z_i & \xrightarrow{\coprod g_i} & U \\ {\scriptstyle \coprod e_i}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod V_i & \xrightarrow{\coprod k_i} & W. \end{array}$$

is cartesian as well.

Proof. (Sketch) This may be verified by starting with a commutative diagram



and considering, for every j, the commutative diagram



□

For more information on fiber products and coproducts of schemes and cartesian diagrams, see [EGA $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{new}}$, Chapter 0, §1.2, and Chapter I, §3.1].

2. Descent data on schemes

two

In this Section we reformulate the standard definitions of *covering data* and *descent data* on schemes (these standard definitions can be found, for example, in [BLR, Chapter 6]). We focus on schemes, but similar considerations apply to quasi-coherent modules.

Let $f\colon S' \to S$ be a morphism of schemes, set $S'' = S' \times_S S'$ and let $p_i\colon S'' \to S'$ ($i = 1, 2$) be the canonical projection onto the i-th factor. Then the following

diagram is cartesian

can (2.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} S'' & \xrightarrow{p_1} & S' \\ {\scriptstyle p_2}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ S' & \xrightarrow{f} & S. \end{array}$$

Further, set $S''' = S' \times_S S' \times_S S'$ and let $p_{jk}\colon S''' \to S''$ be given (set-theoretically) by $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \mapsto (s_j, s_k)$, where $(j,k) = (1,2), (1,3)$ or $(2,3)$. Note that

eqs1 (2.2) $$p_1 \circ p_{12} = p_1 \circ p_{13}$$
eqs2 (2.3) $$p_1 \circ p_{23} = p_2 \circ p_{12}$$
eqs3 (2.4) $$p_2 \circ p_{23} = p_2 \circ p_{13},$$

where the first (respectively, second, third) common composition $S''' \to S'$ is the projection onto the first (respectively, second, third) factor.

If $\pi\colon X \to S'$ is an S'-scheme and $i = 1$ or 2, we will write $p_i^*X = X \times_{S',p_i} S''$ and regard it as an S''-scheme via $p_i^*(\pi)$. Further, we will write $p_{i,X} = (p_i)_X\colon p_i^*X \to X$. The S'''-schemes $p_{jk}^*p_i^*X$ (with structural morphisms $p_{jk}^*p_i^*(\pi)$) and morphisms $p_{jk,p_i^*X}\colon p_{jk}^*p_i^*X \to p_i^*X$ are defined similarly. The equalities (2.2)-(2.4) induce various identifications among these objects. For example, by (2.4),

id0 (2.5) $$p_{23,p_2^*X} = p_{13,p_2^*X}.$$

Recall that a *covering datum on X relative to f* is an isomorphism of S''-schemes $p_1^*X \simeq p_2^*X$.

Set $X'' = p_1^*X$ and let $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$ be a covering datum on X. In particular, $p_1^*(\pi) = p_2^*(\pi) \circ \varphi$. Define

q1 (2.6) $$q_1 = p_{1,X}$$
q2 (2.7) $$q_2 = p_{2,X} \circ \varphi.$$

Then the following diagram, which is an instance of diagram (1.3), is cartesian for $i = 1$ and 2:

cart (2.8)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{q_i} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ S'' & \xrightarrow{p_i} & S'. \end{array}$$

Conversely, assume that there exist cartesian diagrams of the form (2.8) such that (2.6) holds. Then there exist unique morphisms $\varphi\colon X'' \to p_2^*X$ and $\psi\colon p_2^*X \to X''$

such that the following diagrams commute:



and



Since the diagrams



and



commute, $\varphi \circ \psi$ (respectively, $\psi \circ \varphi$) is the identity morphism of p_2^*X (respectively, X''). Thus we obtain an S''-isomorphism $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$ (i.e., a covering datum on X relative to f) such that (2.7) holds.

We conclude that to give a covering datum on X relative to f is equivalent to giving a pair of cartesian diagrams (2.8) such that (2.6) holds.

Now let $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$ again be a covering datum on X relative to f and define q_1 and q_2 by (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. Further, write $X''' = p_{12}^*p_1^*X = p_{13}^*p_1^*X$ (2.2) and note that $p_{12}^*p_2^*X = p_{23}^*X''$ (2.3). Then we may discuss the S'''-isomorphism

$p_{12}^*\varphi\colon X''' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{23}^*X''$ in analogy to the foregoing discussion of the S''-isomorphism $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$. Thus we define

q12 (2.9) $$q_{12} = p_{12,X''}$$

q13 (2.10) $$q_{13} = p_{13,X''}$$

q23 (2.11) $$q_{23} = p_{23,X''} \circ p_{12}^*\varphi.$$

Since $p_{12}^*p_1^*(\pi) = p_{13}^*p_1^*(\pi) = p_{12}^*p_2^*(\pi) \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = p_{23}^*p_1^*(\pi) \circ p_{12}^*\varphi$, the following diagram is cartesian for $(j,k) = (1,2), (1,3)$ and $(2,3)$ as an instance of diagram (1.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X''' & \xrightarrow{q_{jk}} & X'' \\ {\scriptstyle p_{12}^*p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)} \\ S''' & \xrightarrow{p_{jk}} & S''. \end{array}$$

Now, by the commutativity of

pain (2.12)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_{jk}^*X'' & \xrightarrow{p_{jk,X''}} & X'' \\ {\scriptstyle p_{jk}^*\varphi}\downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ p_{jk}^*p_2^*X & \xrightarrow{p_{jk,p_2^*X}} & p_2^*X \end{array}$$

and the equalities (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we have

eq1 (2.13) $$q_1 \circ q_{12} = q_1 \circ q_{13}$$

eq2 (2.14) $$q_1 \circ q_{23} = q_2 \circ q_{12}.$$

Assume now that φ is, in fact, a *descent datum on X relative to f*, i.e., the following diagram of isomorphisms of S'''-schemes, where the equalities are induced by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), commutes:

coc (2.15)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} p_{12}^*p_1^*X = p_{13}^*p_1^*X & & \xrightarrow[\sim]{p_{13}^*\varphi} & & p_{23}^*p_2^*X = p_{13}^*p_2^*X \\ & {\scriptstyle p_{12}^*\varphi}\searrow^{\sim} & & \nearrow_{\sim}{\scriptstyle p_{23}^*\varphi} & \\ & & p_{12}^*p_2^*X = p_{23}^*p_1^*X\,. & & \end{array}$$

Then

eq3 (2.16) $$q_2 \circ q_{23} = q_2 \circ q_{13}.$$

Indeed, by (2.5), (2.7), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2 \circ q_{23} &= p_{2,X} \circ (\varphi \circ p_{23,X''}) \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = p_{2,X} \circ (p_{23,p_2^*X} \circ p_{23}^*\varphi) \circ p_{12}^*\varphi \\ &= p_{2,X} \circ (p_{13,p_2^*X} \circ p_{13}^*\varphi) = p_{2,X} \circ \varphi \circ p_{13,X''} = q_2 \circ q_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain six commutative diagrams

mcart (2.17)



where $i = 1$ or 2, $(j,k) = (1,2), (1,3)$ or $(2,3)$, the squares are cartesian, equations (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11) hold (where φ is the covering datum on X determined by the right-hand square in (2.17) for $i = 2$) and the various top horizontal compositions satisfy the relations (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16).

Conversely, assume that there exist commutative diagrams of the form (2.17) with cartesian squares such that (2.6), (2.7) (where φ is the covering datum on X determined by the right-hand square in (2.17) for $i = 2$), (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.16) hold. Then (2.13) also holds since it follows from (2.2), (2.6), (2.9) and (2.10). We will show that (2.11) holds as well and that diagram (2.15) commutes, i.e., φ is a descent datum on X relative to f.

By (2.7), (2.9) and the commutativity of (2.12), the following diagram commutes



Further, $p_{12}^*\varphi$ is the unique morphism such that $p_{2,X} \circ p_{12,p_2^*X} \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = q_2 \circ q_{12}$. Similarly, there exists an S'''-isomorphism $g\colon X''' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{23}^*X'' = p_{12}^*p_2^*X$ such that the following diagram commutes



where we have used (2.6). Moreover, g is the unique morphism that satisfies the identity $p_{1,X} \circ p_{23,X''} \circ g = q_1 \circ q_{23}$. Now (2.3), (2.14) and the preceding uniqueness statements imply that $g = p_{12}^*\varphi$, whence $q_{23} = p_{23,X''} \circ p_{12}^*\varphi$, i.e., (2.11) holds. Finally, the diagram with cartesian square (where the equalities come from (2.4)

and (2.16))



commutes for $h = p_{23}^*\varphi \circ p_{12}^*\varphi$ and $h = p_{13}^*\varphi$. Indeed, since (2.12) commutes and (2.7), (2.10) and (2.11) hold, we have

$$(p_2 \circ p_{23})_X \circ p_{23}^*\varphi \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = p_{2,\,X} \circ \varphi \circ p_{23,\,X''} \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = q_2 \circ q_{23}$$

and

$$(p_2 \circ p_{13})_X \circ p_{13}^*\varphi = p_{2,\,X} \circ \varphi \circ p_{13,\,X''} = q_2 \circ q_{13}.$$

Thus $p_{23}^*\varphi \circ p_{12}^*\varphi = p_{13}^*\varphi$, i.e., the cocycle condition (2.15) is satisfied.

We conclude that to give a descent datum on X relative to f is equivalent to giving six commutative diagrams of the form (2.17) consisting of cartesian squares such that (2.6), (2.7) (where φ is the covering datum on X determined by the right-hand square in (2.17) for $i = 2$), (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.16) hold.

To conclude this Section, we observe that, if Y is an S-scheme, then the S'-scheme $Y' = f^*Y = Y \times_S S'$ is endowed with a canonical descent datum $c_Y\colon p_1^*Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*Y'$, namely the composite S''-isomorphism

$$p_1^*Y' = p_1^*f^*Y \simeq (f \circ p_1)^*(Y) = (f \circ p_2)^*(Y) \simeq p_2^*Y',$$

where the second equality holds by the commutativity of (2.1). Set-theoretically, c_Y can be described by the formula

cy

$$c_Y(y, s', s', t') = (y, t', s', t'), \tag{2.18}$$

where $(y, -) \in Y'$ and $(s', t') \in S''$.

3. Galois descent of schemes

3

In this Section we use the developments of the previous Section to discuss Galois descent of schemes. Compare with [BLR, §6.2, Example B, pp. 139-140].

Recall that a morphism of schemes $f\colon S' \to S$ is said to be *finite and locally free* if f is affine and $f_*\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ is a finite and locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module. Equivalently, f is finite, flat and locally of finite presentation.

Let $f\colon S' \to S$ be a finite, surjective and locally free morphism (in particular, f is faithfully flat and quasi-compact) and let Γ be a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(S'/S)$. If X is an S-scheme, an *action of Γ on X over S* (via automorphisms) is a group homomorphism $\rho\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(X/S)$.

For every scheme X, set $\Gamma \times X = \coprod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} X$. Then ρ induces an action of the S-group scheme $\Gamma \times S$ on X over S, i.e., an S-morphism $(\Gamma \times S) \times_S X \to X$ subject to well-known conditions. We will henceforth identify $(\Gamma \times S) \times_S X$ and $\Gamma \times X$ so that the preceding morphism will be written as $\Gamma \times X \to X$. Now set

$$b = \coprod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} 1_{S'} \colon \Gamma \times S' \to S', (\sigma, s') \mapsto s'.$$

We will regard $\Gamma \times S'$ as an S-scheme via $f \circ b$ (whence b is an S-morphism). The canonical action of Γ on S' over S, i.e., the S-morphism $\coprod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} \sigma \colon \coprod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} S' \to S'$ will be written as

a (3.1) $$a \colon \Gamma \times S' \to S', (\sigma, s') \mapsto \sigma s'.$$

We now assume that f is a *Galois covering* with Galois group Γ, i.e., the morphism of S-schemes

gal (3.2) $$\vartheta = (b, a)_S \colon \Gamma \times S' \to S'', (\sigma, s') \mapsto (s', \sigma s'),$$

is an *isomorphism*.

For example, if K/k is a finite Galois extension of fields with Galois group Γ, then the canonical morphism $f \colon \operatorname{Spec} K \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is a Galois covering. In effect, in this case ϑ (3.2) is the isomorphism of k-schemes induced by the isomorphism of k-algebras $K \otimes_k K \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} K, x \otimes y \mapsto \prod_{\sigma\in\Gamma} x\sigma(y)$.

Clearly, the following diagrams commute

gal3 (3.3)


and

gal2 (3.4)


Further, since (3.2) is an isomorphism, the morphism of S-schemes

galt (3.5) $$\varrho \colon \Gamma \times \Gamma \times S' \to S''', (\sigma, \tau, s') \mapsto (s', \tau s', (\sigma\tau)s'),$$

is an isomorphism as well.

We now define S-morphisms $\widetilde{p}_{jk} \colon \Gamma \times \Gamma \times S' \to \Gamma \times S'$ by the formulas

pjk1 (3.6) $$\widetilde{p}_{12}(\sigma, \tau, s') = (\tau, s'),$$

pjk2 (3.7) $$\widetilde{p}_{13}(\sigma, \tau, s') = (\sigma\tau, s'),$$

pjk3 (3.8) $$\widetilde{p}_{23}(\sigma, \tau, s') = (\sigma, \tau s').$$

Then the following diagram commutes for $(j,k)=(1,2),(1,3)$ and $(2,3)$:

comm (3.9)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\times\Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varrho} & S''' \\ {\scriptstyle \widetilde{p}_{jk}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_{jk}} \\ \Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta} & S'' \end{array}$$

Let $\pi\colon X\to S'$ be an S'-scheme and recall the schemes $X''=p_1^*X=X\times_{\pi,S',p_1}S''$ and $X'''=p_{12}^*p_1^*X=p_{13}^*p_1^*X$. We will make the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\times X &= X\times_{S',b}(\Gamma\times S')=b^*X \\ 1_\Gamma\times\pi &= b^*(\pi)=\vartheta^*(p_1^*(\pi)) \quad \text{(see (3.3))} \\ \Gamma\times\Gamma\times X &= (b\circ\widetilde{p}_{12})^*X=(b\circ\widetilde{p}_{13})^*X \\ 1_\Gamma\times 1_\Gamma\times\pi &= (b\circ\widetilde{p}_{12})^*(\pi)=\widetilde{p}_{12}^*(\vartheta^*p_1^*(\pi))=\varrho^*(p_{12}^*p_1^*(\pi)) \quad \text{(see (3.9))}. \end{aligned}$$

Via the above identifications, ϑ and ϱ induce isomorphisms

galp (3.10)
$$\vartheta_{X''}\colon \Gamma\times X\xrightarrow{\sim} X'',\ (\sigma,x)\mapsto(x,\pi(x),\sigma\pi(x)),$$

and

galp2 (3.11)
$$\varrho_{X'''}\colon \Gamma\times\Gamma\times X\xrightarrow{\sim} X''',\ (\sigma,\tau,x)\mapsto(x,\pi(x),\tau\pi(x),(\sigma\tau)\pi(x)),$$

where we have used the commutativity of (3.3) and (3.9) to obtain the indicated set-theoretic formulas.

Now let $\rho\colon\Gamma\to\mathrm{Aut}(X/S)$ be an action of Γ on X over S which is compatible with the canonical action of Γ on S' over S, i.e., if $\Gamma\times X\to X$ is the S-morphism induced by ρ, then the following diagram of S-morphisms commutes

act2 (3.12)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow{a} & S', \end{array}$$

where a is given by (3.1). We will show that ρ defines a descent datum on X relative to f by constructing a diagram of the form (2.17) with cartesian squares such that (2.6), (2.7) (where φ is the covering datum on X determined by the right-hand square in (2.17) for $i=2$), (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.16) hold (see the previous Section).

We begin by noting that (3.12) may be written as

ct2.1 (3.13)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod\limits_{\sigma\in\Gamma} X & \xrightarrow{\coprod\rho(\sigma)} & X \\ {\scriptstyle \coprod\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \coprod\limits_{\sigma\in\Gamma} S' & \xrightarrow{\coprod\sigma} & S'. \end{array}$$

Now since

srho (3.14)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\rho(\sigma)} & X \\ {\scriptstyle \pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\sigma} & S' \end{array}$$

is cartesian for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, Lemma (1.1) shows that the equivalent diagrams (3.12) and (3.13) are cartesian as well. We now observe that, if

q2a (3.15)
$$q_2 = \big(\coprod \rho(\sigma)\big) \circ \vartheta_{X''}^{-1},$$

then the cartesian square (3.12) decomposes as

twin (3.16)
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overset{\coprod\rho(\sigma)}{\frown} & & \\ \Gamma\times X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta_{X''}} & X'' & \xrightarrow{q_2} & X \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\pi=\vartheta^*(p_1^*(\pi))}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta} & S'' & \xrightarrow{p_2} & S', \\ & & \underset{a}{\smile} & & \end{array}$$

where the lower part of the diagram commutes by the commutativity of (3.4). We conclude that the right-hand square in (3.16) is cartesian. Thus, setting $q_1 = p_{1,X}$ (whence (2.6) holds), there exist cartesian diagrams for $i = 1$ and 2

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X'' & \xrightarrow{q_i} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ S'' & \xrightarrow{p_i} & S', \end{array}$$

that define a covering datum $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$ on X relative to f such that (2.7) holds.

Now let $\widetilde{q}_{jk}\colon \Gamma\times\Gamma\times X\to\Gamma\times X$ be given by the formulas

pt1 $$\widetilde{q}_{12}(\sigma,\tau,x) = (\tau,x), \tag{3.17}$$

pt2 $$\widetilde{q}_{13}(\sigma,\tau,x) = (\sigma\tau,x), \tag{3.18}$$

pt3 $$\widetilde{q}_{23}(\sigma,\tau,x) = (\sigma,\rho(\tau)x). \tag{3.19}$$

Then (2.6), (3.10), (3.17) and (3.19) yield

once $$q_1\circ\vartheta_{X''}\circ\widetilde{q}_{23} = \big(\coprod\rho(\sigma)\big)\circ\widetilde{q}_{12}. \tag{3.20}$$

Further, since $\rho(\sigma)(\rho(\tau)x)=\rho(\sigma\tau)x$ for all $(\sigma,\tau,x)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma\times X$, we have

rnice $$\big(\coprod\rho(\sigma)\big)\circ\widetilde{q}_{23} = \big(\coprod\rho(\sigma)\big)\circ\widetilde{q}_{13}. \tag{3.21}$$

Define

$$q_{jk} = \vartheta_{X''}\circ\widetilde{q}_{jk}\circ\varrho_{X'''}^{-1}.$$

Then (2.14) and (2.16) follow at once from (3.15). (3.20) and (3.21). Further, since $\widetilde{q}_{jk}=\widetilde{p}_{jk,\,\Gamma\times X}$ for $(j,k)=(1,2)$ and $(1,3)$, the commutativity of (3.9) shows that $q_{jk}=p_{jk,X''}$ for such (j,k), i.e., (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Next, the diagram

qt $$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\times\Gamma\times X & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{q}_{jk}} & \Gamma\times X \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times 1_\Gamma\times\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\pi} \\ \Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{p}_{jk}} & S' \end{array} \tag{3.22}$$

is cartesian for $(j,k)=(1,2),(1,3)$ and $(2,3)$. This is clear if $(j,k)=(1,2)$ or $(1,3)$. If $(j,k)=(2,3)$, then (3.22) is cartesian because (3.12) is cartesian. Now (3.22) decomposes as

twin2 $$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \multicolumn{3}{c}{\overset{\widetilde{q}_{jk}}{\frown}} & & \\ \Gamma\times\Gamma\times X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varrho_{X'''}} & X''' & \xrightarrow{q_{jk}} & X'' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta_{X''}^{-1}} & \Gamma\times X \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times 1_\Gamma\times\pi=\varrho^*(p_{12}^*p_1^*(\pi))}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_{12}^*p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\pi} \\ \Gamma\times\Gamma\times S' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varrho} & S''' & \xrightarrow{p_{jk}} & S'' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta^{-1}} & \Gamma\times S', \\ & & \multicolumn{3}{c}{\underset{\widetilde{p}_{jk}}{\smile}} & & \end{array} \tag{3.23}$$

where the bottom part of the diagram commutes by the commutativity of (3.9). Consequently, the central square above is cartesian. Thus we obtain the desired

commutative diagrams with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X''' & \xrightarrow{q_{jk}} & X'' & \xrightarrow{q_i} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p_{12}^* p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\pi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ S''' & \xrightarrow{p_{jk}} & S'' & \xrightarrow{p_i} & S'. \end{array}$$

such that (2.6), (2.7), (2.9), (2.10), (2.14) and (2.16) hold.

The descent datum $\varphi\colon X'' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X$ on X relative to f thus associated to ρ may be described (set-theoretically) as follows. By (2.7) and (3.15), we have

for (3.24) $$\coprod \rho(\sigma) = p_{2,X} \circ \varphi \circ \vartheta_{X''}.$$

It then follows that φ is given by the formula

$$\varphi(x, \pi(x), s') = (\rho(\sigma)x, \pi(x), s'),$$

where σ is the unique element of Γ such that $s' = \sigma\pi(x)$.

tact *Example* 3.1. Let Y be an S-scheme. Then $Y' = Y \times_S S'$ is canonically endowed with an action of Γ over S that is compatible with a, namely $\coprod(1_Y \times_S \sigma)\colon \Gamma \times Y' \to Y'$. The associated descent datum on Y' (relative to f) is the isomorphism of S''-schemes $c_Y\colon p_1^*Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*Y'$ (2.18).

4. Galois descent of morphisms

4

We keep the notation and hypotheses of the previous Section. In this Section we generalize the standard result [J, Proposition 2.8] on the Galois descent of morphisms of k-schemes, where k is a field, to an arbitrary base scheme S.

For $i = 1$ or 2, let $\pi_i\colon X_i \to S'$ be an S'-scheme equipped with an action $\rho_i\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{Aut}(X_i/S)$ that is compatible with the canonical action of Γ on S' over S. If $\delta\colon X_1 \to X_2$ is an S'-morphism, i.e., $\pi_2 \circ \delta = \pi_1$, then the commutativity of (3.14) (for both ρ_1 and ρ_2) shows that $\rho_2(\sigma) \circ \delta \circ \rho_1(\sigma)^{-1}\colon X_1 \to X_2$ is a morphism of S'-schemes for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Thus we may define a left action of Γ on the set $\mathrm{Hom}_{S'}(X_1, X_2)$ by

act3 (4.1) $$\Gamma \times \mathrm{Hom}_{S'}(X_1, X_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{S'}(X_1, X_2), (\sigma, \delta) \mapsto \rho_2(\sigma) \circ \delta \circ \rho_1(\sigma)^{-1}.$$

Now, for $i = 1$ and 2, let $\varphi_i\colon p_1^*X_i \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X_i$ be the descent datum on X_i associated to ρ_i in the previous Section. Note that $p_2^*(\pi_i) \circ \varphi_i = p_1^*(\pi_i)$ for $i = 1$ and 2.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{S'}(X_1, X_2)$. Then δ is invariant under the action of Γ if, and only if, the diagram*

poq (4.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^*X_1 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_1} & p_2^*X_1 \\ {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\delta)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2^*(\delta)} \\ p_1^*X_2 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_2} & p_2^*X_2 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By the definition (4.1), we need to show that (4.2) commutes if, and only if,

geq (4.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma\times X_1 & \xrightarrow{\coprod\rho_1(\sigma)} & X_1 \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\delta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ \Gamma\times X_2 & \xrightarrow{\coprod\rho_2(\sigma)} & X_2 \end{array}$$

commutes. By (3.24) applied to both ρ_1 and ρ_2, the preceding diagram decomposes as

geq2 (4.4)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Gamma\times X_1 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta_{p_1^*X_1}} & p_1^*X_1 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_1} & p_2^*X_1 & \xrightarrow{p_{2,X_1}} & X_1 \\ {\scriptstyle 1_\Gamma\times\delta=\vartheta^*_{p_1^*X_2}(p_1^*(\delta))}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\delta)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2^*(\delta)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} \\ \Gamma\times X_2 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\vartheta_{p_1^*X_2}} & p_1^*X_2 & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_2} & p_2^*X_2 & \xrightarrow{p_{2,X_2}} & X_2\,, \end{array}$$

where the left-hand and right-hand squares commute. Thus, if (4.2) commutes, then (4.3) commutes as well. Conversely, assume that (4.3), i.e., the outer diagram in (4.4), commutes. To show that (4.2) commutes, it suffices to check that the diagram with cartesian square

kart (4.5)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^*X_1 & & \\ {\scriptstyle h}\searrow & \overset{p_{2,X_2}\circ\varphi_2\circ p_1^*(\delta)}{\searrow} & \\ & p_2^*X_2 \xrightarrow{p_{2,X_2}} X_2 & \\ {\scriptstyle p_2^*(\pi_2)\circ\varphi_2\circ p_1^*(\delta)}\searrow & {\scriptstyle p_2^*(\pi_2)}\downarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ & S'' \xrightarrow[p_2]{} S'\,, & \end{array}$$

commutes for $h = \varphi_2 \circ p_1^*(\delta)$ and $h = p_2^*(\delta) \circ \varphi_1$. The above diagram clearly commutes if $h = \varphi_2 \circ p_1^*(\delta)$. Now, since $\vartheta_{p_1^*X_1}$ is an isomorphim and the outer diagram and left-hand square in (4.4) commute, we have $p_{2,X_2} \circ p_2^*(\delta) \circ \varphi_1 = p_{2,X_2} \circ \varphi_2 \circ p_1^*(\delta)$, i.e., the top triangle of diagram (4.5) commutes when $h = p_2^*(\delta) \circ \varphi_1$. The commutativity of the lower triangle in (4.5) when $h = p_2^*(\delta) \circ \varphi_1$ can be checked using the identities $\pi_2 \circ \delta = \pi_1$ and $p_2^*(\pi_i) \circ \varphi_i = p_1^*(\pi_i)$ ($i = 1$ and 2). □

Recall now that the descent datum $\varphi_i\colon p_1^*X_i \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*X_i$ is said to be *effective* if there exist S-schemes Y_i and S'-isomorphisms $\theta_i\colon X_i \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_i'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^*X_i & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi_i} & p_2^*X_i \\ {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\theta_i)}\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sim} & & {\scriptstyle \sim}\downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2^*(\theta_i)} \\ p_1^*Y_i' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_{Y_i}} & p_2^*Y_i'. \end{array}$$

commutes. If this is the case, then we say that X_i *descends to* Y_i (or *to* S). By [SGA1, VIII, Corollary 7.6], X_i descends to S if $\pi_i\colon X_i \to S'$ is quasi-projective.

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that, for $i = 1$ and 2, X_i descends to Y_i and let $\theta_i\colon X_i \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_i'$ be the corresponding isomorphism of S'-schemes. Let $\delta\colon X_1 \to X_2$ be an S'-morphism and define $\varepsilon\colon Y_1' \to Y_2'$ by the commutativity of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{\delta} & X_2 \\ {\scriptstyle\theta_1}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\sim} & & {\scriptstyle\sim}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\theta_2} \\ Y_1' & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & Y_2'. \end{array}$$

Then $\varepsilon = \psi \times_S S'$ for some S-morphism $\psi\colon Y_1 \to Y_2$, if, and only if, ε is invariant under Γ (4.1), i.e., for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1' & \xrightarrow{1_{Y_1}\times\sigma} & Y_1' \\ {\scriptstyle\varepsilon}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varepsilon} \\ Y_2' & \xrightarrow{1_{Y_2}\times\sigma} & Y_2' \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. By [SGA1, Theorem 5.2 and comment after the statement], $\varepsilon = \psi \times_S S'$ for some S-morphism $\psi\colon Y_1 \to Y_2$, if, and only if, the diagram (which is an instance of (4.2))

ppf2 (4.6)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_1^*Y_1' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_{Y_1}} & p_2^*Y_1' \\ {\scriptstyle p_1^*(\varepsilon)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_2^*(\varepsilon)} \\ p_1^*Y_2' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_{Y_2}} & p_2^*Y_2' \end{array}$$

commutes (see the next remark). By the proposition, the latter is the case if, and only if, ε is invariant under the action of Γ. □

Remark 4.3. In [SGA1, Theorem 5.2 and comment after the statement], the schemes $p_1^*Y_i'$ and $p_2^*Y_i'$ have been identified via c_{Y_i}. Thus the condition in [loc.cit.] that $p_1^*(\varepsilon)$ and $p_2^*(\varepsilon)$ be equal is indeed equivalent to the commutativity of diagram (4.6).

References

blr [BLR] Bosch, S., Lütkebohmert, W. and Raynaud, M.: Néron models. Erg. der Math. Grenz. **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

gw [GW] Görtz, U. and Wedhorn, T.: Algebraic geometry I. Schemes with examples and exercises. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010.

sga1 [SGA1] Grothendieck, A.: Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1). Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie 1960–61. Lecture Notes in Math. **224**,Springer-Verlag 1971.

ega1 [EGA I_{new}] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J.: Éléments de géométrie algébrique I. Le langage des schémas. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **166**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

j [J] Jahnel, J.: The Brauer-Severi variety associated with a central simple algebra (unpublished). Available at `https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/lag/man/052.pdf`

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena, Cisternas 1200, La Serena 1700000, Chile

E-mail address: `cgonzalez@userena.cl`